Resilient & Intelligent NextG Systems (RINGS)

Principal Investigator (PI) Project Summary

Yong Liu

NYU



National Science Foundation

Qualcom

vmware

DEFENSE 🕲 NIST 🗉 🏂 Google IBM, intel.

Resilient Edge Networks with Data-Driven Model-Based Learning



- Yong Liu, NYU
- Zhong-Ping Jiang, NYU
- Jian Li, SUNY, Binghamton
- Pei Liu, NYU
- Shiv Panwar, NYU

Motivation: Why did we choose this topic?

□ Cloud-native Wireless Edge Networks Increasingly Complex

- embedded functions/services to support NextG applications
- software-based implementation/management

□ Availability/Reliability/Resilience Top Design Priority

- expected resource and demand variations
- unexpected level-shifts of operating conditions

Level of resource over-provisioning viable more limited than mega-data centers/backbone networks

- communication and computation resources tightly coupled
- more cost-effective solutions
 - agile and fine-grained joint adaptation of provisioning, allocation and scheduling

Methodology: What technical gaps to address

Traditional Model-Based Solutions

- driven by user, traffic, network models
- non-stationarity? inaccurate/in-complete models? complexity?
- Recent Data-Driven Solutions
 - learn traffic/network dynamics from operational data (model-free)
 - generalizability? expressiveness? curse-of-dimensionality ?
- Our approach: Data-Driven Model-Based Learning





High-level Project Summary

- □ Two Loosely Classified Classes
 - Type A: expected demand and resource variations
 - diurnal traffic variations, random signal impairments, isolated link/node failures,
 - Type B: unexpected major shifts in demands and resources
 - unexpected flash-crowd, natural disasters, coordinated attacks





Type A: Adaptive Routing with In-network Processing (RINP)

□ RINP: Finding Routes in Edge Networks

- meeting traffic & computation demands of application flows
- balancing loads on communication links and compute nodes
- adapting to demand/resource variations

□ Hybrid Learning: data-driven adaptive control



Type A: Adaptive Routing with In-network Processing (RINP)

□ RINP: Finding Routes in Edge Networks

- meeting traffic & computation demands of application flows
- balancing loads on communication links and compute nodes
- adapting to demand/resource variations

Hybrid Learning: data-driven adaptive control





Type A: Hybrid Learning-Based Adaptive Scheduling

- □ Workloads routed to edge compute nodes highly dynamic
 - offline traffic models inaccurate -> low scheduling efficiency
 - pure data-driven scheduling suffers curse-of-dimensionality
- □ Index-based Reinforcement Learning
 - index policies exploiting inherent structure of scheduling problem to achieve sample efficiency
 - online learning to adapt to traffic dynamics

□ Interaction between hybrid-learning based adaptive routing and scheduling

Type B: Progressive Emergency Recovery

□ After unexpected major disruptions:

- surviving capacity insufficient to carry all demands
- boost capacity using backup/helper resources
- strategically block non-essential services
- multi-stage recovery through joint provisioning, routing and scheduling.

Robust/Adaptive DP (RADP): maintain stability of recovery process under "structural changes" in network resources and demands







Type B: Service Provider Cooperation for Resiliency

- Information and infrastructure sharing between service providers to improve resiliency during and after emergencies and disasters.
- Online learning based inter-Cellular Service
 Provider (CSP) routing
 - how to route traffic to blackbox peer CSP networks?
 - hybrid learning-based inter-CSP routing adaptation
 - stability of inter- and intra-CSP routing interaction









Collaboration with Industry Sponsors and Other Teams

Realistic MEC Data

topology, traffic, failure/attack scenarios ...

Feedbacks

- current practices & needs,
- assumptions, design considerations
- complexity, implementability
-

Open to collaborations

- sponsors and other teams
- similar & complementary directions.



Thanks & Questions?